

# Journal Pre-proof

Alcohol-free hand sanitizer and other quaternary ammonium disinfectants quickly and effectively inactivate SARS-CoV-2

Benjamin H. Ogilvie, Antonio Solis-Leal, J. Brandon Lopez, Brian D. Poole, Richard A. Robison, Bradford K. Berges



PII: S0195-6701(20)30547-8

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.023>

Reference: YJHIN 6243

To appear in: *Journal of Hospital Infection*

Received Date: 8 September 2020

Revised Date: 23 November 2020

Accepted Date: 25 November 2020

Please cite this article as: Ogilvie BH, Solis-Leal A, Lopez JB, Poole BD, Robison RA, Berges BK, Alcohol-free hand sanitizer and other quaternary ammonium disinfectants quickly and effectively inactivate SARS-CoV-2, *Journal of Hospital Infection*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.11.023>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The Healthcare Infection Society.

1 **Alcohol-free hand sanitizer and other quaternary ammonium disinfectants quickly**  
2 **and effectively inactivate SARS-CoV-2**

3

4 Benjamin H. Ogilvie\*, Antonio Solis-Leal,\* J. Brandon Lopez, Brian D. Poole, Richard A.  
5 Robison, and Bradford K. Berges<sup>#</sup>

6

7 Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo,  
8 Utah, USA

9

10 <sup>#</sup>Address correspondence to Brad Berges: 701 East University Parkway, Brigham  
11 Young University, 4007A LSB, Provo, UT 84602, USA; Email: brad.berges@gmail.com;  
12 Telephone: 1-801-422-8112

13

14 \*Benjamin H. Ogilvie and Antonio Solis-Leal contributed equally to this work.

15

16 **Keywords: COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, coronavirus, disinfection, quaternary**  
17 **ammonium, hand sanitizer**

18

19 **Summary:**

20 SARS-CoV-2 is the virus responsible for the current global pandemic, COVID-19.

21 Because this virus is novel, little is known about its sensitivity to disinfection. In this  
22 study, we performed suspension tests against SARS-CoV-2 using three commercially  
23 available quaternary ammonium compound (Quat) disinfectants and one laboratory-  
24 made 0.2% benzalkonium chloride solution. Three of the four formulations completely  
25 inactivated the virus within 15 seconds of contact, even in the presence of a soil load or  
26 when diluted in hard water. We conclude that Quats rapidly inactivate SARS-CoV-2,  
27 making them potentially useful for controlling SARS-CoV-2 spread in hospitals and the  
28 community.

29

**30 Introduction:**

31           Coronaviruses are enveloped viruses that commonly cause upper respiratory  
32 tract infections in humans and animals. Four known coronaviruses cause the common  
33 cold in humans, while another three have caused deadly outbreaks in the past 20 years,  
34 including SARS-CoV-2, the agent of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). COVID-19  
35 has been particularly devastating, so enhanced disinfection and other preventative  
36 measures against SARS-CoV-2 have been adopted worldwide to limit its spread.

37           Since SARS-CoV-2 is both a novel virus and a biosafety level-3 (BSL-3) agent,  
38 disinfection data for this specific virus are scarce. Consequently, studies using other  
39 coronaviruses have been used to draw conclusions about which disinfectants are most  
40 effective against it. While this approach is useful, it is also inherently speculative, since  
41 even viruses within the same family can respond differently to a given disinfectant (1). In  
42 addition, experts disagree about which disinfectants should work best against SARS-  
43 CoV-2, especially when it comes to quaternary ammonium compounds (Quats). For  
44 instance, one prominent review article reported that benzalkonium chloride (a Quat) was  
45 probably “less effective” against SARS-CoV-2, which was cited by the Centers for  
46 Disease Control (CDC) of the United States as a reason to avoid using benzalkonium  
47 chloride-based hand sanitizer products (2,3). At the same time, the Environmental  
48 Protection Agency (EPA) of the United States and Health Canada both list a  
49 benzalkonium chloride product on their official list of disinfectants recommended for use  
50 against SARS-CoV-2 (4). Many nosocomial outbreaks of COVID-19 have been  
51 documented to date, and hospitals need laboratory-documented data for effective  
52 decontamination. Clearly, more research is needed in this area to help to stem the  
53 current pandemic.

54           In this study, we tested 0.2% benzalkonium chloride and three commercial Quat  
55   disinfectants against SARS-CoV-2. The three commercial disinfectants we tested were  
56   Cavicide, a widely used Quat hospital disinfectant; Clean Quick Broad Range  
57   Quaternary Sanitizer, a multi-use Quat disinfectant safe for food contact surfaces; and  
58   fluid extracted from Qimei® Hand Sanitizing Wipes, a benzalkonium chloride household  
59   product. Specific details, including the compound used, the concentration tested, and  
60   the source for each disinfectant are included in Table 1. A suspension test method was  
61   used, and surviving virus was assayed using a plaque assay with Vero E6 (ATCC CRL-  
62   1586) cells. We found that all four compounds, except for Clean Quick, effectively  
63   inactivated SARS-CoV-2 within 15 seconds of contact and in the presence of organic  
64   soil loads. In addition, dilution of the disinfectants in hard water had no significant effect  
65   on virus inactivation.

66

**67 Methods:**

68 SARS-CoV-2 virus stocks (isolate USA-WA1/2020, NR-52281, obtained from BEI  
69 Resources, Manassas, VA, USA) with a concentration of  $1 \times 10^7$  PFU/mL were used to  
70 prepare test suspensions containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) or 0.5% mucin.  
71 These suspensions were then exposed to the disinfectants for various contact times  
72 and held at room temperature. The ratio of virus inoculum to total solution was 1:10 by  
73 volume: 1 part virus per 9 parts disinfectant. After the specified contact time, the  
74 disinfectant-virus mixtures were then diluted 1:10 into a neutralizer solution except for  
75 the Qimei wipe liquid, which was diluted 1:20 into neutralizer to address cytotoxicity  
76 concerns. Serial 1:10 dilutions of the neutralized mixtures were performed in sterile 1x  
77 PBS, and 200  $\mu$ L aliquots of the resulting solutions were added to Vero E6 cells after  
78 removal of cell culture media. Plating was done in duplicate, and cells were then  
79 incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. After incubation, 1.5 mL of overlay medium containing  
80 complete DMEM and 1.5% SeaPlaque™ GTG™ agarose (Lonza, Rockland, ME USA)  
81 was added to each well, and cells were incubated for 72 hours at 37°C to allow plaque  
82 formation.

83 Once plaques were established, a 10% formaldehyde solution was added for 1  
84 hour to inactivate infectious virus, and top agar was removed. Cells were stained with  
85 1% crystal violet, and plaques were counted manually using microscopy. All  
86 experiments were performed in a BSL-3 laboratory, with approval from the Institutional  
87 Biosafety Committee at Brigham Young University (protocol 2020-0069). Each test was  
88 then repeated on at least two different dates. More detailed information about our  
89 methods is included in the supplementary material.

90           In addition to the test method, two simultaneous controls were run: a titre and a  
91 neutralizer control. For the titre control, serial 1:10 dilutions of the virus were performed  
92 in 1x PBS, and the virus was plated as described above. For the neutralizer control,  
93 each disinfectant was diluted 1:10 in neutralizer, and immediately after mixing,  
94 approximately  $10^5$  PFU of virus was added. After incubation at room temperature for ten  
95 minutes, serial 1:10 dilutions were then performed in PBS, and plating was performed  
96 as described above. The purpose of this control was to ensure that neither the  
97 neutralizer nor the neutralized disinfectants were cytotoxic or virucidal, and to ensure  
98 that the neutralizer effectively stopped the activity of the disinfectant.

99

**100 Results and discussion:**

101 Full results of suspension tests are listed in Table 2. Each of the compounds  
102 except Clean Quick was highly effective at inactivating SARS-CoV-2 within 15 seconds  
103 of contact, and in the presence of a mucin or BSA soil load. Clean Quick was effective  
104 after 30 seconds of contact time in the absence of a soil load, which matches its  
105 intended use. The manufacturer's website states that Clean Quick is supposed to be  
106 used as either a "non-rinse sanitizer for third-sink sanitizing of dishes" or a sanitizer for  
107 pre-cleaned surfaces, either way with a contact time of at least 1 minute. Under those  
108 conditions, it is likely to be effective against SARS-CoV-2 (7).

109 These results show that Quats are effective at inactivating SARS-CoV-2. Quats  
110 are already the most widely represented class of disinfectants on EPA's List N, the  
111 agency's official list of disinfectants recommended for use against SARS-CoV-2 based  
112 on prior studies with other viruses (4). In addition, in July 2020, the EPA officially  
113 approved SARS-CoV-2 efficacy claims for 13 disinfectants based on laboratory testing  
114 with SARS-CoV-2 itself, and all of those disinfectants list Quats as their only active  
115 ingredients (4,8). Clearly, Quats can be an effective tool for helping food  
116 establishments, hospitals, and the general public to control SARS-CoV-2.

117 Perhaps the most significant finding of this study is that benzalkonium chloride  
118 hand sanitizer is effective at inactivating SARS-CoV-2, which is useful for healthcare  
119 professionals to know. Since alcohol-based hand sanitizer is the only type  
120 recommended by the CDC and also the only type given expedited manufacturing  
121 approval by the U.S. Food and Drug administration, alcohol hand sanitizer has  
122 overwhelmingly been the dominant choice for SARS-CoV-2 control in the U.S., leading



123 to acute supply shortages in the U.S. and elsewhere (3,9). However, benzalkonium  
124 chloride has several advantages over alcohol for hand disinfection: it is non-toxic, less  
125 irritating to skin, and non-flammable (10). In fact, switching from alcohol to  
126 benzalkonium chloride hand sanitizer can lead to better hand hygiene compliance from  
127 healthcare workers, possibly decreasing overall viral contamination of their hands (10).  
128 Since both alcohols and Quats inactivate this virus, healthcare facilities and providers  
129 should choose a hand sanitizer product for COVID-19 control based on comfort, cost,  
130 and availability. In light of these results, the FDA should consider giving expedited  
131 approval to manufacturers of benzalkonium chloride hand sanitizers, thereby making  
132 both types of hand sanitizers more available.

133 To our knowledge, only two studies have been conducted on Quats and SARS-  
134 CoV-2 disinfection to date. Chin et al tested 0.1% benzalkonium chloride against SARS-  
135 CoV-2 with no soil load, which was effective after a 5 minute contact time (5). Ijaz et al  
136 went further, testing a 0.19% alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride disinfectant with  
137 a 5% FBS soil load, which was effective after a contact time of 2 minutes (6). Both  
138 studies used TCID<sub>50</sub> assays and suspension tests, although shorter contact times were  
139 not reported.

140 This study explores shorter, more commonly used contact times than previous  
141 studies, adding meaningful information to our understanding of SARS-CoV-2  
142 disinfection. Unique features of these experiments include the relatively short contact  
143 times tested (15 seconds and 30 seconds) and the organic and inorganic matter added  
144 during testing, which included mucin, BSA, and hard water. These variables were  
145 designed to simulate real-world conditions: in practice, surfaces contaminated with

146 SARS-CoV-2 likely contain mucus or other organic materials, and water used to dilute  
147 disinfectants may contain hard water ions. Another unique aspect of this study was the  
148 use of plaque assays to quantify viable SARS-CoV-2 virus. As a result, the number of  
149 infectious virus particles was determined, allowing precise log reductions to be  
150 calculated. By comparison, TCID<sub>50</sub> assays are less precise because any cytopathic  
151 effects at a given dilution are scored equally; similarly, genome copy number assays  
152 may detect genomes from non-infectious particles. In addition, most users of surface  
153 disinfectants do not leave them on surfaces for very long. Quat compounds, in  
154 particular, are known to vary in activity with changes in disinfectant formulation or soil  
155 load, so accounting for these factors in laboratory tests is especially important (1).

156 We conclude that Quats are effective disinfectants for the inactivation of SARS-  
157 CoV-2. Beyond merely inactivating the virus, Quats act quickly, making them practical  
158 for use in healthcare settings where prompt disinfection is important. In particular,  
159 benzalkonium chloride hand sanitizer products could be used as effective alternatives to  
160 alcohol-based products, which may help reduce supply shortages and contribute to the  
161 containment of COVID-19.

162

163 **Declaration of Interests:** None.

164

165 **Acknowledgements:** We thank the BYU Risk Management staff for their logistical  
166 support with the BSL-3 lab, and we also thank Alyssa Applegate for providing training  
167 and for helping to make the virus stock used in these studies.

168

Journal Pre-proof

169 **References:**

- 170 (1) Kahrs RF. General disinfection guidelines. *Revue scientifique et technique*  
171 (International Office of Epizootics) 1995 Mar;14(1):105.
- 172 (2) Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on  
173 inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. *Journal of Hospital*  
174 *Infection* 2020 Mar;104(3):246-251.
- 175 (3) CDC Hand Hygiene Guidelines for COVID-19. 2020; Available at:  
176 <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/hand-hygiene.html>. Accessed Apr 23,  
177 2020.
- 178 (4) List N: Disinfectants for Use Against SARS-CoV-2. 2020; Available at:  
179 <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-registration/list-n-disinfectants-use-against-sars-cov-2>.  
180 Accessed May 1, 2020.
- 181 (5) Chin AWH, Chu JTS, Perera MRA, Hui KPY, Yen H, Chan MCW, et al. Stability of  
182 SARS-CoV-2 in different environmental conditions. *The Lancet Microbe* 2020  
183 May;1(1):e10.
- 184 (6) Ijaz MK, Whitehead K, Srinivasan V, McKinney J, Rubino JR, Ripley M, et al.  
185 Microbicidal actives with virucidal efficacy against SARS-CoV-2. *American journal of*  
186 *infection control* 2020 Aug;48(8):972-973.
- 187 (7) Clean Quick® Broad Range Quaternary Sanitizer . Available at:  
188 [https://www.pgpro.com/brands/clean-quick/clean-quick-broad-range-quaternary-](https://www.pgpro.com/brands/clean-quick/clean-quick-broad-range-quaternary-sanitizer/)  
189 [sanitizer/](https://www.pgpro.com/brands/clean-quick/clean-quick-broad-range-quaternary-sanitizer/). Accessed Aug 18, 2020.
- 190 (8) EPA Approves 13 Products from List N as Effective Against SARS-CoV-2. 2020;  
191 Available at: [https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-approves-13-products-list-n-](https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-approves-13-products-list-n-effective-against-sars-cov-2)  
192 [effective-against-sars-cov-2](https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-approves-13-products-list-n-effective-against-sars-cov-2). Accessed Aug 18, 2020.
- 193 (9) Making Hand Sanitizers Available to Americans. 2020; Available at:  
194 <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/coronavirus-covid-19-drugs/hand-sanitizers-covid-19>.  
195 Accessed Aug 18, 2020.
- 196 (10) Bondurant S, McKinney T, Bondurant L, Fitzpatrick L. Evaluation of a  
197 benzalkonium chloride hand sanitizer in reducing transient *Staphylococcus aureus*  
198 bacterial skin contamination in health care workers. *American journal of infection control*  
199 2019;48(5):522-526.

200

201 **Table 1: Disinfectant Properties**

<b>Product (Manufacturer Name)</b>	<b>Intended Use for Product</b>	<b>Active Ingredient (Concentration)</b>	<b>Suggested contact time (EPA List N)</b>
Benzalkonium chloride (Beantown Chemical, Hudson, NH, USA)	Laboratory chemical	Benzalkonium chloride (0.2%)	N/A
Qimei® Hand Sanitizing Wipes (Zhejiang Qimei Commodity Company, Haining City, Zhejiang, CN)	Sanitizing hands	Benzalkonium chloride (0.13%)	N/A
Cavicide (Metrex Research LLC, Orange, CA, USA)	Disinfecting medical devices, non-porous surfaces in healthcare facilities	Diisobutylphenoxyethoxyethyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride (0.28%), isopropanol (17.20%)	2 min
Clean Quick (Procter & Gamble Company, Cincinnati, OH, USA)	Disinfecting food contact surfaces, dishes in third compartment sinks	Alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides (0.15%), alkyl dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides (0.15%)	10 min

202

203

204 **Table 2: Test Results**

Product	Concentration	Exposure time	Reduction in virus titre (PFU/mL, log 10)			
			No soil load	0.5% Mucin	5% BSA	Product diluted in hard water
Benzalkonium Chloride	0.2% w/w in water	15 sec	>3.19*	>2.97*	2.09	>3.19*
		30 sec	>3.02*	>2.93*	>2.68*	>2.72*
Qimei Wipes	Undilute	15 sec	>2.97*	>2.64*	>2.64*	N/A
		30 sec	>2.77*	>2.64*	>2.64*	N/A
Cavicide	Undilute	15 sec	>3.19*	>2.97*	>2.97*	N/A
		30 sec	>2.88*	>2.97*	>2.97*	N/A
Clean Quick	200 parts per million in water	15 sec	1.49	0.31	0.00	0.44
		30 sec	>2.88*	0.57	0.42	1.86

205

206 \*For these tests, the amount of inactivation detected was the maximum possible

207 inactivation level the assay was able to detect. Variation in log reduction value for these

208 data points is due to variation of the titre on different test dates, not variation in the

209 inactivation activity of the disinfectant.

210 N/A: These products were not tested with hard water because they are sold ready to

211 use; they did not specify dilution prior to use.